

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/532,824	12/15/2005	Marie Sommerville	5331-113	8887
23117 7590 01/11/2010 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			EXAMINER	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			PURDY, KYLE A	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/532.824 SOMMERVILLE, MARIE Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit Kyle Purdy 1611

This application is abandoned in view of:    Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 25 February 2009.
(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona file attempt at a proper reply, to the non-
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) \[ A reply was received on \[ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-
intal tojodion. Goo of of the cool,
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three month from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ol>
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on(with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice Allowance (PTOL-85).
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on(with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court revie of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:
No response has been filed within the 6-month statutory period.
/Kyle Purdy/ Examiner, Art Unit 1611 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643 January 4, 2010
politions to review under 37 CER 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of shandonment under 37 CER 1.181, should be promptly filed to

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)